

Message

From: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]
Sent: 11/6/2018 3:21:04 PM
To: Maier, Brent [Maier.Brent@epa.gov]; Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]
CC: Higuchi, Dean [Higuchi.Dean@epa.gov]; Glenn, William [Glenn.William@epa.gov]
Subject: Draft response to John Murray (Feinstein office) - Hunters Point cleanup standards
Attachments: EPA 9.21.18 Comments on draft Hunters Pt Five Year Review.pdf

Dear Brent,

Here is a draft response based on what Enrique sent last wk:

John,

The Chronicle is relying on work by Dan Hirsch and we are reviewing that analysis.

As a matter of practice, every five years we review sites like Hunters Point, including the established cleanup standards for potential updating. We're doing that now. Attached are EPA's September 2018 comments on the Navy's draft Five-Year Review, dated July 9, 2018. Please see General Comment #2 regarding our request that the Navy evaluate Remediation Goals to ensure they are still protective.

In our March 2018 comments on the Draft Work Plan for Radiological Survey and Sampling, and again in our August 2018 comments on the Task Specific Work Plan for Parcel G, EPA also reminded that Navy that as part of the concurrent Five-Year Review process, the "Work Plan should use only those cleanup goals confirmed through this analysis to be protective."

The Navy has committed to perform this updated risk analysis. We are awaiting a draft report with calculations and explanations from the Navy as part of its draft final version of the Five Year Review, which should arrive sometime in November.

Please let me know any further information you may need.

Brent